1 2	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney		ORIGINAL FILED
3			MINITURGIBLE 2 5 2004
4			. unaking
5			Hichard W. Wistrict Court Clerk, U.S. District of California Northern District of California Nanthern Gan Jose
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
1.1			
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Ì	No. CR 04-20104 JW/HRL
13	Plaintiff,	\ \	VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. §§
14	v.	{	1030(a)(5)(A)(ii), 1030(a)(5)(B)(i) — Unauthorized Access Into a Computer Recklessly Causing Damage; 18 U.S.C. § 1519 - Destruction, Alteration and Falsification of Records in Federal Investigation
15	MARK ERFURT,		
16	Defendant.		
17			SAN JOSE VENUE
18			
19	The United States Attorney charges:		
20	<u>SUPERSEDING INFORMATION</u>		
21	At all times relevant to this matter:		
22	Background		
23	1. Manufacturing Electronic Sales Corporation ("MESC") was a company that		
24	resells electronic manufacturing components and devices, and was based in Santa Clara,		
25	California.		
26	2. Defendant MARK ERFURT was employed as a contract Information Technology		
2'7	consultant for MESC from in or about 2001 through May 2002. In this position, defendant		
28	ERFURT had administrative level access to the MESC computer systems.		
	Superseding Information CR 04-20104 JW/HRL		

COUNT ONE: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(5)(A)(ii), 1030(a)(5)(B)(i), 1030(c)(4)(B) – Unauthorized Access Into a Computer Recklessly Causing Damage)

- 3. Paragraphs One and Two are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.
- 4. On or about January 23 and 24, 2003, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

## MARK ERFURT,

did intentionally access a protected computer without authorization, and as a result of such conduct recklessly caused damage, in that the defendant intentionally accessed the MESC computer system and, as a result of such conduct, recklessly caused damage to that computer system, which damage caused loss aggregating at least \$5,000 in value during a one-year period.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(5)(A)(ii), 1030(a)(5)(B)(i), and 1030(c)(4)(B).

## Sentencing Allegations

- 5. The amount of loss is between \$70,000 and \$120,000; and
- 6. The defendant willfully obstructed and impeded, and attempted to obstruct and impede, the administration of justice during the course of the prosecution of the offense of conviction, and the obstructive conduct related to the defendant's offense of conviction and any relevant conduct.

COUNT TWO: (18 U.S.C. § 1519 - Destruction, Alteration and Falsification of Records in Federal Investigation)

- 7. Paragraphs One and Two are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.
- 8. On or about May 18, 2004, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant

## MARK ERFURT,

did knowingly alter, destroy, mutilate, conceal, cover up, falsify, and make a false entry in any record, document, and tangible object, with the intent to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigation and proper administration of any matter within the jurisdiction of any department

and agency of the United States, that is, a pending criminal investigation by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, United States Department of Justice, by overwriting computer files belonging to MESC which he had placed on the computer system of Centaur Corporation to conceal from federal investigators his unauthorized intrusion into MESC on or about January 23 and 24, 2003. All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1519. Sentencing Allegation The offense involved the destruction, alteration, and fabrication of a substantial 9. number of records, documents, and tangible objects, and involved the selection of an essential and especially probative record, document, and tangible object, to destroy and alter. KEVIN V. RYAN DATED: August 25, 2004. United States Attorney ting Chief, San Jose Branch (Approved as to form: